

# **Corporate Parenting Report**

## Jun-13

**Children Looked After** 

(Activity to end of March 2013)

	CONTENT	rs	Page number
A1	Part A:	Performance Information ey Performance Indicators	3
	Part B:	Analysis of Key Performance Indicators for Children Looked After (CLA)	
B1)	Children lo	ooked after with three or more placements during the year	4
B2)	Children w	ho had been looked after for at least 2.5 years who were in the same placement for at least 2 years	5
B3)	Looked af	ter children placed outside LA boundary and more than 20 miles from where they used to live	6
B4)	Young pe	ople aged 19 who were looked after aged 16 who were not in education, employment or training	7
B5)	Young peo	ople aged 19 who were looked after aged 16 who were in suitable accommodation	8
B6)	Overall ab	sence from school of children who have been looked after continuously for at least twelve months	9
B7)	Looked aft	er children classed as persistent absentees	10
B8)	Percentag	e of looked after children adopted	11
B9)	Percentag	e of children who ceased to be looked after because of a special guardianship order	12

## Part C: Analysis of Key Performance Indicators for Children Subject to a Child Protection Plan (CPP)

C1)	Children who became the subject of a child protection plan for a second or subsequent time	13
-----	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

	DI Deservición	England average	Dest National 44/40	Best London	Harrow	Harrow	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
PI Ref	PI Description	11-Dec	Best National 11/12	11/12	10/11	11/12	Dec-13	Dec-13	12/13 (+)	12/13 (prov +)
NI 61	Timeliness of CLA adoptions following agency decision (%)	Not published	Not published	Not published	83.3	100	-	100	100	100
NI 62	CLA placement stability: number of moves (% with 2+ moves)	11	Bolton (5) Cambridgeshire (5) Hartlepool (5)	. ,	15	16.2	2	6.9	9.8	16.7
NI 63	CLA placement stability: length of placement (%)	68	Stockport (83) Croydon (82) Medway Towns (82)	Croydon (82) Waltham Forest(80) Westminster (79)	66.7	71.4	69.2	71.4	55	52.9
NI 65	CPP for 2nd or subsequent time (%)	13.8	Brent (0) Bolton (3.2) Kingston u Hull (4.5)		8.8	14.2	10	10.6	10.2	11.7
NI 66	Timeliness of CLA reviews (%)	Not published	Not published	Not published	96.8	98.6	-	98.7	95.5	91.3
NI 147	% of Care Leavers in suitable accommodation	88	Bexley (100) Blackburn with D (100) Camden (100)	Bexley (100) Camden (100) Redbridge (100)	91.7	72.7	44.4	75	63.2	73.3
NI 148	% of Care Leavers in not education, employment or training	64	Derbyshire (84) Lambeth (83) Oxfordshire (82)	Lambeth (83) Hillingdon (79) Newham (75)	33.3	36	88.9	50	52.6	56.7

Dark Green represents top quartile (best 25%) Light Green represents third quartile. Amber represents the second quartile.

Bandings are currently based on Local Authority scores for 2010/11

+ = Bandings are based on DfE published data for 2011-12

Red represents the bottom quartile (worst 25%)

#### Percentage of children looked after at 31 March with three or more placements during the year ending 31 March

Number of ch	ildren loc	oked afte	r at 31 M	larch		ntage of c ith three the year		Provisional 2013 data % and (denominator)			
Statistical Neighbours	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
Barnet	325	325	310	300	300	12%	10%	10%	6%	10%	
Croydon	1,045	1,070	1,005	845	745	12%	7%	11%	10%	11%	
Ealing	395	395	405	385	410	8%	8%	9%	10%	11%	
Enfield	255	260	280	300	310	12%	11%	12%	17%	12%	
Harrow	140	150	160	140	155	10%	<b>9</b> %	12%	<b>16%</b>	17%	16.7% (28/168 CLA)
Hillingdon	475	445	420	385	375	11%	11%	9%	8%	5%	
Hounslow	335	340	355	345	320	11%	9%	15%	13%	14%	May change with year
Kingston Upon Thames	90	110	125	115	125	12%	9%	13%	10%	10%	end data cleaning
Merton	105	120	140	135	130	19%	16%	13%	15%	15%	
Redbridge	180	215	230	210	215	11%	9%	10%	11%	11%	
Slough	120	135	175	165	170	7%	10%	16%	11%	9%	
Statistical Neighbours	3,465	3,565	3,605	3,325	3,255	11%	10%	12%	12%	11%	
England	59,380	60,910	64,460	65,520	67,050	12%	11%	11%	11%	11%	

#### Summary of trends

The percentage of Harrow's children looked after at 31 March with three or more placements has increased. Harrow is performed in the bottom quartile of Local Authorities in England on this indicator for the last two years. Our numbers of Looked after children have increased however they remain low compared to statistical neighbours. This could also have some impact on the percentages. At 31st March 2013, 28 of 168 CLA had 3 or more placements during the year. This indicator for two parts one to exclude missing over 24 hours as a placement move as it indicates instability in the placement. DfE are looking at producing this indicator in two parts one to exclude missing as a placement move, If they are to do so then our performance will be 14.2% (excluding those who were missing). Action is being taken to improve both short and long term placement stability - and a review of individual children with 3+ moves has been carried out. Of the 28 children with 3+ moves 19 are teenagers with majority being aged 16+, of the younger children the placements have mainly been moves between Mother & Baby units & Foster Care or move to adoptive placements. Some moves have had positive outcomes. The Divisional Director of Targeted Services has set up a Panel and will be chairing to scrutinise all placement moves for authorisation before they are implemented.

Percentage of looked after children aged under 16 at 31 March who had been looked after continuously for at least 2.5 years who were in the same placement for at least 2 years

Number of children ag looked after co					been		itage of lo ie placem		Provisional 2013 data % and (denominator)		
Statistical Neighbours	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	(denominator)
Barnet	135	135	125	120	95	61%	64%	65%	69%	60%	
Croydon	145	170	140	160	145	71%	68%	70%	67%	82%	
Ealing	135	115	120	115	110	68%	65%	74%	73%	72%	
Enfield	115	110	95	75	90	69%	70%	73%	76%	67%	
Harrow	50	40	35	25	15	<b>58%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>67%</b>	71%	52.9% (9/17 CLA)
Hillingdon	135	135	115	105	90	72%	68%	73%	72%	75%	
Hounslow	105	100	105	110	110	59%	71%	68%	67%	68%	
Kingston Upon Thames	20	25	30	25	40	76%	70%	79%	85%	58%	
Merton	30	20	30	25	35	45%	57%	50%	67%	68%	
Redbridge	45	50	45	55	65	62%	63%	62%	76%	71%	
Slough	25	30	30	30	25	67%	63%	55%	54%	56%	
Statistical Neighbours	940	930	870	845	820	<b>64%</b>	66%	67%	70%	<b>68%</b>	
England	22,030	21,230	20,770	20,060	20,890	65%	<b>66%</b>	67%	68%	68%	

#### Summary of trends

The percentage of Harrow's looked after children aged under 16 at 31 March who had been looked after continuously for at least 2.5 years who were in the same placement for at least 2 years has dropped due to a change in the cohort, Harrow has a small cohort and small changes can have a big impact. 9 out of 17 children who have been looked after for 2.5 years have been in the same placement for 2 years. Concerns/investigations in the placements for 4 out of the 8 children who had a placement move warranted moving the children, all 4 are doing well in the new placements. Weak performance on the short term stabiliy indicator impacts on this indicator as well. The Placements team are focusing on providing improved placement options and improved support / early intervention. The Access to Resource team has developed support packages to prevent placement breakdown . Access to Resource Panel will be gatekeeping all possible moves.

Percentage of looked after children at 31 March placed outside LA boundary and more than 20 miles from where they used to live

Number of children lo	oked afte	r at 31 M	larch	Percentage of I placed outside miles fro	Provisional 2013 data % and (denominator)		
Statistical Neighbours	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	
Barnet	310	300	300	25%	23%	22%	
Croydon	1,005	845	745	8%	7%	8%	
Ealing	405	385	410	19%	18%	20%	
Enfield	280	300	310	23%	20%	18%	
Harrow	160	140	155	23%	22%	18%	12.9% (168 CLA)
Hillingdon	420	385	375	16%	17%	16%	Could change with data
Hounslow	355	345	320	25%	22%	23%	cleaning for end of year
Kingston Upon Thames	125	115	125	20%	19%	16%	Returns to DfE
Merton	140	135	130	12%	11%	13%	
Redbridge	230	210	215	14%	16%	16%	
Slough	175	165	170	17%	19%	23%	
Statistical Neighbours	3,605	3,325	3,255	18%	18%	18%	
England	64,460	65,520	67,050	13%	12%	12%	

## Summary of trends

The percentage of Harrow's looked after children at 31 March placed outside the LA boundary and more than 20 miles from where they used to live fell from 23% in 2010 to 18% in 2012. Harrow performed in the bottom quartile of Local Authorities in England on this indicator for the last three years. Most of our statistical neigbours perform in the bottom two quatiles in this indicator. Performance has improved this year.

Percentage of young people aged 19 who were looked after aged 16 who were not in education, employment or training

Number of young peo looked afte			were	looked after age	Percentage of young people aged 19 who were looked after aged 16 who were not in education, employment or training					
Statistical Neighbours	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	(denominator)			
Barnet	40	45	35	40%	31%	46%				
Croydon	255	225	230	21%	17%	51%				
Ealing	60	45	50	29%	28%	29%				
Enfield	30	35	25	23%	19%	x				
Harrow	25	10	20	x	x	<b>36%</b>	56.7% (31)			
Hillingdon	85	90	80	23%	20%	21%				
Hounslow	35	35	40	35%	46%	37%				
Kingston Upon Thames	10	15	20	x	x	30%				
Merton	15	15	15	x	x	x				
Redbridge	35	20	25	29%	35%	33%				
Slough	20	15	15	x	x	х				
Statistical Neighbours	610	550	555	29%	28%	35%				
England	6,200	6,290	6,610	32%	33%	36%				

x = number less than or equal to 5 or percentage where the numerator is less than or equal to 5 or the denominator is less than or equal to 10. Summary of trends

The percentage of Harrow's young people aged 19 who were looked after aged 16 who were not in education, employment or training was 36% in 2012. The corresponding figures for 2010 and 2011 were suppressed due to small numbers. Harrow performed in the second top quartile of Local Authorities in England on this indicator based on the three year average figure of 29% on this measure. This is a very small cohort, engagement with care leavers and improving accommodation and employment/training opportunities for care leavers is a priority for Children's Services. 13 of 31 relevant young people are in education, employment or training. Of the 18 NEET, 7 are not in contact with the service (3 deported), 11 are NEET. We need to ensure that we do not loose contact with Care leavers, DfE will collect data on children who have been deported from 2013. There are many initiatives in conjunction with Early Intervention Services to help young people into Education, Employment & Training such as the X-16 programme, My Bank training -an accredited course, mentoring schemes, National Citizenship scheme and life skills programme, all these help to skill up young people. Young people who did not achieve A\* to C GCSE's also have access to Youth Contact scheme. The Leaving Care team is working to improve the position - including work with the careers advisor advises NEET young people on options available. From 2013-14 DfE will be extending monitoring of this indicator for those eligible for Leaving Care Services at age 19, 20 & 21.

#### Percentage of young people aged 19 who were looked after aged 16 who were in suitable accommodation

Number of young peo looked aft	• •		were	Percentage of looked after	Provisional 2013 data % and		
Statistical Neighbours	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	(denominator)
Barnet	40	45	35	90%	98%	86%	
Croydon	255	225	230	69%	76%	90%	
Ealing	60	45	50	100%	100%	92%	
Enfield	30	35	25	87%	94%	89%	
Harrow	25	10	20	<b>92%</b>	<mark>92</mark> %	77%	73.3 % (31)
Hillingdon	85	90	80	86%	84%	92%	
Hounslow	35	35	40	86%	89%	84%	
Kingston Upon Thames	10	15	20	x	94%	85%	
Merton	15	15	15	92%	92%	88%	
Redbridge	35	20	25	88%	90%	100%	
Slough	20	15	15	100%	80%	73%	
Statistical Neighbours	610	550	555	89%	<b>9</b> 0%	87%	
England	6,200	6,290	6,610	90%	<b>90</b> %	88%	

#### Summary of trends

The percentage of Harrow's young people aged 19 who were looked after aged 16 who were in suitable accommodation fell from 92% in 2010 and 2011 to 77% in 2012. Harrow performed in the bottom quartile of Local Authorities in England on this indicator based on the three year average figure of 86% on this measure. This is a very small cohort, engagement with care leavers and improving accommodation and employment/training opportunities for care leavers is a priority for Children's Services. 24 of 32 relevant young people are in suitable accommodation. 7 are not in contact with the service (3 are deported), Of those 25 that we are in contact with, 24 are in suitable accommodation. From 2013-14 DfE will be extending monitoring of this indicator for those eligible for Leaving Care Services at age 19, 20 & 21. We need to ensure that we do not loose contact with Care leavers, DfE will collect data on children who have been deported from 2013.

#### Overall absence from school of children who have been looked after continuously for at least twelve months at 31 March

#### Coverage: England, Primary, Secondary and Special schools

The number of children a for at least twelve mont	•	•			•		ge of sessions lost bsence) for childre for at least		ho were looked af	
Statistical Neighbours	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Barnet	160	150	145	135	115	5.8%	7.0%	6.7%	7.8%	5.2%
Croydon	330	335	290	280	210	7.4%	7.4%	6.0%	4.7%	4.8%
Ealing	150	125	135	130	125	5.5%	7.3%	6.1%	4.6%	4.0%
Enfield	115	110	115	110	115	5.5%	6.7%	6.1%	5.0%	4.8%
Harrow	50	50	45	30	30	4.3%	5.6%	7.4%	4.4%	7.8%
Hillingdon	180	160	135	140	130	6.2%	6.0%	4.4%	5.5%	6.0%
Hounslow	135	125	135	140	125	5.9%	4.7%	5.9%	4.5%	3.1%
Kingston Upon Thames	35	35	40	45	40	7.6%	6.8%	8.8%	5.7%	3.8%
Merton	40	35	40	35	40	7.0%	5.0%	6.3%	3.6%	4.9%
Redbridge	70	65	65	70	75	8.1%	5.6%	6.0%	4.9%	3.7%
Slough	30	30	45	60	55	6.7%	6.7%	8.9%	4.7%	6.2%
Statistical Neighbours	1,295	1,220	1,190	1,175	1,060	6.4%	6.3%	6.6%	5.0%	4.9%
England	26,150	25,590	25,740	26,370	26,010	6.4%	6.2%	5.8%	5.5%	4.7%

x = number less than or equal to 5 or percentage where the numerator is less than or equal to 5 or the denominator is less than or equal to 10.

#### Summary of trends

The percentage of sessions lost through absence by Harrow's children aged 5 to 15 who had been looked after for at least twelve months increased from 4.3% in 2008 to 7.4% in 2010. The figure then fell to 4.4% in 2011 before increasing again to 7.8% in 2012. This indicator only includes those who were matched to the National Pupil Database. 2012-13 performance is estimated to be 6.4% for 46 children, however we cannot replicate DfE matching exercise. In 2011-12 we had 41 school age children of whom DfE successfully matched 30 children.

#### Looked after children classed as persistent absentees

#### Coverage: England, Primary, Secondary and Special schools

The number of children a for at least twelve mont	-	-			-		le of children aged e months at 31 Ma			
Statistical Neighbours	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Barnet	160	150	145	135	115	6.2%	7.9%	9.7%	9.6%	7.8%
Croydon	330	335	290	280	210	11.5%	10.1%	7.2%	5.0%	7.5%
Ealing	150	125	135	130	125	6.7%	12.1%	10.4%	8.5%	6.5%
Enfield	115	110	115	110	115	9.5%	8.9%	6.2%	8.0%	6.0%
Harrow	50	50	45	30	30	x	X	14.9%	x	x
Hillingdon	180	160	135	140	130	8.3%	6.2%	4.4%	6.3%	8.6%
Hounslow	135	125	135	140	125	7.5%	x	5.2%	х	х
Kingston Upon Thames	35	35	40	45	40	х	x	15.4%	x	х
Merton	40	35	40	35	40	х	x	x	0.0%	х
Redbridge	70	65	65	70	75	11.8%	x	11.1%	8.5%	х
Slough	30	30	45	60	55	X	X	X	X	X
Statistical Neighbours	1,295	1,220	1,190	1,175	1,060	8.8%	9.0%	9.4%	6.6%	7.3%
England	26,150	25,590	25,740	26,370	26,010	9.3%	8.8%	7.8%	7.3%	6.1%

x = number less than or equal to 5 or percentage where the numerator is less than or equal to 5 or the denominator is less than or equal to 10.

#### Summary of trends

The 2008, 2009, 2011 and 2012 figures for Harrow were not published due to confidentiality reasons as the cohorts contained small numbers of children. In 2010, 14.9% of children aged 5 to 15 who were looked after continuously for at least twelve months at 31 March were classed as persistent absentees during the 2009-10 year. Supressed figure indicate progress, DfE changed the way this indicator is calculated and only includes those children who were matched to the National Pupil Datbase & excludes those not on roll. for 2012-13 we have 6 children who could be counted in this indicator however we cannot replicate DfE matching exercise. In 2011-12 we had 41 school age children of whom DfE successfully matched 30 children.

Numbers and Percentages for years ending 31 March

umber of children who ceased to be looked after in year ending 31 March Percentage of looked after children adopted during the year ending 31 March														
Statistical Neighbours	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	(denominator)			
Barnet	135	140	160	140	165	11%	9%	9%	6%	10%				
Croydon	420	445	515	440	415	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%				
Ealing	175	210	210	220	205	13%	13%	4%	5%	7%				
Enfield	120	120	140	160	155	13%	13%	8%	9%	7%				
Harrow	90	100	90	125	105	10%	14%	11%	<b>5%</b>	<mark>6%</mark>	6.8% (7/103)			
Hillingdon	320	300	260	245	225	8%	5%	8%	5%	8%				
Hounslow	135	145	165	155	170	8%	10%	13%	13%	8%				
Kingston Upon Thames	50	60	55	70	40	x	x	х	9%	x				
Merton	60	80	70	95	95	10%	х	х	x	10%				
Redbridge	120	105	140	145	110	х	6%	8%	6%	х				
Slough	70	85	65	75	95	12%	15%	15%	9%	17%				
Statistical Neighbours	1,695	1,790	1,870	1,870	1,780	10%	10%	<b>9%</b>	7%	8%				
England	24,490	25,020	25,300	27,080	27,350	13%	13%	13%	11%	13%				

#### Summary of trends

The percentage of Harrow's looked after children adopted during the year ending 31 March decreased from 14% in 2009 to 6% in 2012. Harrow performed in the bottom quartile of Local Authorities in England on this indicator for the last two years. DfE have changed this indicator & now separately calculates Special Guardianship Orders. Delays through court proceedings meant some anticipated adoptions were not finalised in 2012-13

#### Percentage of children who ceased to be looked after because of a special guardianship order

Number of children who ceased to be looked after in year ending 31 March				Percentage of c after because	Provisional 2013 data % and (denominator)					
Statistical Neighbours	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	(denominator)			
Barnet	160	140	165	4%	9%	12%				
Croydon	515	440	415	2%	1%	4%				
Ealing	210	220	205	8%	9%	9%				
Enfield	140	160	155	x	4%	11%				
Harrow	90	125	105	x	10%	x	1.9% (2/103)			
Hillingdon	260	245	225	x	x	3%				
Hounslow	165	155	170	4%	x	4%				
Kingston Upon Thames	55	70	40	x	x	x				
Merton	70	95	95	9%	x	x				
Redbridge	140	145	110	x	4%	x				
Slough	65	75	95	x	X	19%				
Statistical Neighbours	1,870	1,870	1,780	5%	6%	<b>9</b> %				
England	25,300	27,080	27,350	5%	7%	8%				
x = number less than or equal to 5 or percentage where the numerator is less than or equal to 5 or the denominator is less than or equal to 10.										

#### Summary of trends

The percentage of Harrow's children who ceased to be looked after because of a special guardianship order during the year was 10% in 2011. The corresponding figures for 2010 and 2012 were suppressed due to small numbers. Harrow performed in the second top quartile of Local Authorities in England on this indicator based on the three year average figure of 7% on this measure.

#### Children who became the subject of a child protection plan for a second or subsequent time

The number of children protection plan de		The percentage of children who became the subject of a child protection plan for a second or subsequent time during the year ending 31 March					
Statistical Neighbours	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	2013
Barnet	241	206	253	11.6%	18.0%	13.8%	
Croydon	365	309	346	10.7%	5.2%	13.3%	
Ealing	408	417	366	15.4%	15.1%	14.8%	
Enfield	210	248	284	16.2%	10.5%	6.3%	
Harrow	144	137	141	8.3%	<b>8.8</b> %	14.2%	11.7%
Hillingdon	246	217	383	8.1%	10.6%	13.1%	
Hounslow	212	236	233	17.0%	9.7%	11.6%	
Kingston Upon Thames	99	97	114	-	15.5%	7.0%	
Merton	169	119	192	7.7%	13.4%	7.8%	
Redbridge	153	184	189	9.2%	10.9%	7.4%	
Slough	142	162	224	12.7%	18.5%	7.6%	
Statistical Neighbours	2,389	2,332	2,725	11.7%	12.4%	10.6%	1
England	44,300	49,000	52,120	13.4%	13.3%	13.8%	

#### Summary of trends

The percentage of children who became the subject of a child protection plan for a second or subsequent time during the year for Harrow increased from 8.3% in 2009-10 to 14.2% in 2011-12, Performance has improved again in 2012-13. This indicator needs careful monitiring as some children needing a plan for a second time may also need to be looked after.